

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/916,314 | 07/30/2001 | Kota Yoshikawa | 010935 | 8261 | |
| 23850 7 | 590 03/22/2004 | | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| ARMSTRONG, KRATZ, QUINTOS, HANSON & BROOKS, LLP | | | GARRETT, DAWN L | | |
| 1725 K STREF SUITE 1000 | ET, NW | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | N, DC-20006 | | 1774. | | |

DATE MAILED: 03/22/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| والرابوسيد وسمحه | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 09/916,314 | YOSHIKAWA, KOTA | | | | |
| , ariosiy , tonon | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Dawn Garrett | 1774 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 26 February 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment whicl I (with appeal fee); or (3) a timel | ation. A proper reply to a n places the application in | | | | |
| PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offit imely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the main | g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MI R 1.136(a) and the appropriate ount of the fee. The appropriate originally set in the final Office a | PEP extension extension action; or | | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. | | | | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be | | NOTE halanda | | | | |
| (a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); | | | | | | |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); | | | | | | |
| (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or | | | | | | |
| (d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE: | ing a corresponding number of f | inally rejected claims. | | | | |
| Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection. | tion(s): | | | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | | eparate, timely filed amen | dment | | | |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See | | idered but does NOT plac | e the | | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | | to issues which were new | ly | | | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w | | | ı | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>2 and 20</u> . | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>4-19</u> . | | | | | | |
| 8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | | | | | | |
| 10. Other: | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

,

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's remarks have been thoroughly considered, but have not been found persuasive to overcome the rejections of record over claims 2 and 20. Accordingly, the rejections of record are respectfully maintained.

Dawn L. Sanett

DAWN GARRETT PATENT EXAMINER